* **Given the provided data, what are three conclusions that we can draw about crowdfunding campaigns?**

We can gain that the success and failed campaign almost mirror each other. We can also gain that just because a campaign failed didn’t mean that, that campaign didn’t raise funds for its cause. Lastly, we can also see that the timeline of the campaigns didn’t seems the effect the outcome of the over success of failure of the campaign.

* **What are some limitations of this dataset?**

It doesn’t predict the urgency of each of the campaigns and what methods were used to promote backers to donate to those campaigns

* **What are some other possible tables and/or graphs that we could create, and what additional value would they provide?**

We could have made a bar chart to show how much money was raised for each category and subcategory. This could provide data in the future to know where to put effort and marketing to ensure that campaigns in the future are successful

* **Use your data to determine whether the mean or the median better summarizes the data.**

The median better summarizes the data because of the extreme outliers that data set has. By using the median, you are getting a number that is less skewed and better represents the data.

* **Use your data to determine if there is more variability with successful or unsuccessful campaigns. Does this make sense? Why or why not?**

The successful data has more variability with the numbers. With the backers having more variability in the amount they are giving to each campaign. This could be because they are giving to campaigns that are becoming more popular and that they are seeing more of because of that popularity.